

Clinical Research in Practice: The Journal of Team Hippocrates

Volume 2 | Issue 1 Article 2

2016

Defining Quality in Medical Practice

Nicholas E. Boggs Oakwood Family Medicine Residency, boggs.do@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/crp

Part of the <u>Bioethics and Medical Ethics Commons</u>, <u>Clinical Epidemiology Commons</u>, <u>Health and Medical Administration Commons</u>, <u>Health Services Administration Commons</u>, <u>Medical Education Commons</u>, and the Translational Medical Research Commons

Recommended Citation

Boggs, NE. Defining quality in medical practice (letter to the editor). Clin. Res. Pract. Feb 5, 2016;2(1):eP1092. doi: 10.22237/crp/1454682635

This Letter to the Editor is brought to you for free and open access by the Open Access Journals at DigitalCommons@WayneState. It has been accepted for inclusion in Clinical Research in Practice: The Journal of Team Hippocrates by an authorized editor of DigitalCommons@WayneState.



Defining Quality in Medical Practice

NICHOLAS E. BOGGS, D.O., Oakwood Healthcare Center – Westland

I find your recent article regarding consent for mammogram use intriguing.¹ It engages the real crux of our dilemma with core quality indicators forced upon medical providers by insurance companies. Just this week, at an Accountable Care Organization (ACO) meeting, this particular quality indicator of mammography screening had many of the medical staff members at odds. A well-known breast surgeon proclaimed that screening mammography was a topic of great controversy. I assumed he would cite studies such as the *Nordic Cochrane Centre* leaflet of 2012 "Screening for Breast Cancer with Mammography"² or more recently, The *New England Journal of Medicine* article in October, 2015 "Trends in Metastatic Breast and Prostate Cancer – Lessons in Cancer Dynamic"³ that clearly call into question the need to screen breast cancer yearly. To my surprise, the surgeon proclaimed he would continue to screen women beginning at age 40 yearly regardless of the quality standard imposed by insurance providers.

This type of confusion among medical providers only adds to the absurdity of the idea that current physician incentives will enhance quality care for our patients. It is only perceived quality and not real quality of care. We need physicians who are willing to participate in creating the standard of care and hold insurance companies accountable for real evidence-based quality markers. Only then will patients receive adequate care, and we as educators in medicine be able to adequately teach the next generation of physicians.

Nicholas E. Boggs, D.O. Associate Osteopathic Directo Oakwood Family Medicine Residency Oakwood Healthcare Center – Westland Beaumont Health

- 1. Meza, JP. Screening mammography requires informed consent. *Clinical Research in Practice: The Journal of Team Hippocrates*. 2015;1(1):eP1027. http://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/crp/vol1/iss1/9
- 2. Gøtzsche, PC, Hartling OJ, Nielsen M, Broderson J. Screening for breast cancer with mammography. *The Cochrane Collaboration*. http://nordic.cochrane.org/sites/nordic.cochrane.org/files/uploads/images/mammography/mammography-leaflet.pdf. Published 2012. Accessed November 17, 2015.
- 3. Welch HG, Gorski DH, Albertsen PC. Trends in metastatic breast and prostate cancer—lessons in cancer dynamics. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373(18):1685-1687. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp1510443

NICHOLAS E. BOGGS, D.O. is Associate Osteopathic Director of the Oakwood Family Medicine Residency at Oakwood Healthcare Center – Westland and Beaumont Health.

